arXiv:1403.0909v1 [math.GR] 4 Mar 2014

Amenability criterions and critical probabilities in percolation

Antoine Gournay

March 5, 2014

A conjecture of Benjamini and Schramm [1, Conjecture 6] asks whether the critical percolation and unique percolation are distinct in all Cayley graphs of non-amenable groups (the definitions are given below). The aim of this note is to give a quick proof of:

Theorem 1. *A countable group is non-amenable if and only if there is non-unique critical percolation on its Cayley graph for some finite multiset S.*

There are already known improvements to this result: A. Thom [9, Corollary 8] showed it is actually true for S a generating set. Theorem 1 was first proved by Nagnibeda & Pak [8, Theorem 1]. The multisets they take are powers of a given generating set, see *e.g.* [8, Theorem 2].

Like [8], the idea is to show that the conductance (or isoperimetric) constant for some multiset is larger than $\sqrt{1/2}$. This implies $p_c < p_u$ using the work of Benjamini & Schramm [1]. The difference in the proof given here is a criterion of amenability due to Følner, numbered Theorem 2.4 below, which seems to have been largely forgotten[1]. The real aim of this note is to unearth it. Given this criterion (which replaces Lemma 2 of [8]), the proof is just "connecting the dots" between known results.

The proof for Følner's criterion for actions (Theorem 4.1) is given in §4 for completeness. The work of A. Thom gives an improvement of this theorem, see §5.A below for details.

1 Definitions

By a finite multiset S in (some set) Y, the reader should understand a finite sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in Y. The abuse of notation $|S| = n$ should not create confusion.

Given a graph $G = (X, E)$, the Bernoulli bond percolation at parameter p is the random subgraph $G[p]$ given by the fact that the variables Z_e (taking value 1 if $e \in G[p]$ and 0 else) are i.i.d. Bernouilli with parameter p. A cluster is a connected component of $G[p]$. Fix some

[1] The author was unable to find a single paper or book mentioning this result!

root vertex $x_0 \in X$. Let $\theta(p) = \mathbb{P}(x$ belongs to an infinite cluster in $G[p])$ and $\zeta(p) = \mathbb{P}($there exists exactly one infinite cluster in $G[p])$.

Definition 1.1. *Critical values for percolation are*

$$p_c(G) = \sup\{p \in [0,1] \mid \theta(p) = 0\} \text{ and } p_u(G) = \inf\{p \in [0,1] \mid \zeta(p) = 1\}.$$

For Cayley graphs, G is replaced by (Γ, S).

Let $\mathtt{1}$ be the function taking value 1 everywhere. If Γ acts on X (on the left), the "natural" action of Γ on functions on X is the left-regular action, *i.e.* $\gamma \cdot f(x) = (\lambda_\gamma f)(x) = f(\gamma^{-1}x)$.

Definition 1.2. *The action of a countable group Γ on X is said to be amenable if there exists a linear functional $m : \ell^\infty(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $m(\mathtt{1}) = 1$, $m(f) \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$, and $m(\gamma \cdot f) = m(f)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

A group is amenable if at acts amenably on itself, *i.e.* $X = \Gamma$. Recall the conductance constant is

$$h(\Gamma, S) = \frac{1}{|S|} \inf_{F \subset \Gamma} \frac{\sum_{s \in S} |sF \setminus F|}{|F|}$$

The action of Γ on functions extends to a convolution. Given $\phi \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$ and $f \in \ell^p(X)$, $\phi * f(x) = \sum_\gamma \phi(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}x)$. Let $\mathtt{1}_S$ be the characteristic function of $S \subset \Gamma$. The spectral radius for S is the operator norm of convolution by the uniform probability distribution on S:

$$\rho(\Gamma, S) = \sup_{\|f\|_{\ell^2(X)}=1} \left\| \tfrac{1}{|S|} \mathtt{1}_S * f \right\|_{\ell^2(X)} = \frac{1}{|S|} \left\| \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s \right\|_{\ell^2 \to \ell^2}$$

2 The dots

A first important result is that Cayley graphs satisfy $p_c \leq p_u$ (*e.g.* see [1, Theorem 3]). Also amenability of the group implies $p_c = p_u$ (by the argument of Burton & Keane, see the remark before [1, Conjecture 6]). Both statements generalise to almost transitive graphs. To prove Theorem 1, it suffices to show that some multiset S has $p_c < p_u$ when the group is non-amenable.

The first ingredient relates conductance (or isoperimetry) and spectral radius.

Theorem 2.1. (Mohar 1988, [7, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1])

$$\rho(\Gamma, S) \leq \sqrt{1 - h(\Gamma, S)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad h(\Gamma, S) \geq (1 - \rho(\Gamma, S)) \frac{|S|}{|S| - 1}$$

Two important ingredients are from [1]:

Theorem 2.2. (Benjamini & Schramm 1996, [1, Theorem 2])

$$p_c(\Gamma, S) \leq \frac{1}{|S|h(\Gamma, S) + 1}.$$

Theorem 2.3. (Benjamini & Schramm 1996, [1, Theorem 4])

$$\textit{If } \rho(\Gamma, S)p_c(\Gamma, S)|S| < 1 \quad \textit{then} \quad p_c(\Gamma, S) < p_u(\Gamma, S).$$

The criterion of Følner which is always cited is [5, Main Theorem (a)], see Theorem 4.3 below. Right afterwards, Følner mentions another criterion [5, Main theorem (b)]:

Theorem 2.4 (Følner's other criterion)**.** *A group is amenable if and only if there exists* $k \in]0, 1[$ *such that for any finite multiset* $S \subset \Gamma$

$$\exists \textit{ a finite } F \subset \Gamma \textit{ such that } \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} |sF \cap F| > k|F|. \tag{$\text{I}_{k,S}$}$$

Anachronistically, this result is an improvement of Juschenko & Nagnibeda [6, Theorem 18] (in the sense that the condition is weaker). A improvement is possible (thanks to the results of Thom [9, Theorem 1]), see §5.A for details.

3 Proof of theorem 1

Putting Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 together shows that

$$\text{if} \quad \frac{\sqrt{1 - h(\Gamma, S)^2}}{h(\Gamma, S) + |S|^{-1}} < 1 \quad \text{then} \quad p_c(\Gamma, S) < p_u(\Gamma, S).$$

So that $h(\Gamma, S) > \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ implies $p_c(\Gamma, S) < p_u(\Gamma, S)$.

Theorem 1 is thus implied by the following easy corollary of Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 3.1. *A group* Γ *is non-amenable if and only if* $\forall \epsilon \in]0, 1[$ *there is a finite multiset* S *such that* $h(\Gamma, S) > 1 - \epsilon$.

Proof. Indeed, by Theorem 2.4, if Γ is non-amenable, then for all $k \in]0, 1[$, there exists a finite multiset S such that

$$\forall \text{ finite } F \subset \Gamma, \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} |sF \cap F| \leq k|F|. \tag{$\not\text{I}_{k,S}$}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\forall \text{ finite } F \subset \Gamma, \frac{1}{|S|} \frac{\sum_{s \in S} |sF \setminus F|}{|F|} \geq 1 - k.$$

In turn, this is equivalent to $h(\Gamma, S) \geq 1 - k$. □

To prove Theorem 1, just pick $k < 1 - \sqrt{1/2}$.

4 Proof of Følner's criterion

Let us reformulate Følner's theorem in terms of amenable actions. This will not make the proof any harder (or any different) than the original proof.

Theorem 4.1. (Følner 1955, [5, Main Theorem (b)]) *A group Γ has an amenable action on X if and only if there exists $k_0 \in]0,1[$ such that for any finite multiset $S \subset \Gamma$ there is a finite set $F \subset X$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{s\in S}|sF \cap F| > k_0|F|.$$

The difficult part in the original proof of Følner is to show the existence of Følner sequence from the existence of an invariant mean. Given that, the proof of Theorem 2.4 (or 4.1) is not difficult. The reader is strongly advised to read the original papers of Følner [4] and [5]. It still seems to be a source of inspiration for recent work, *e.g.* see Cannon, Floyd & Parry's recent article [2].

To show amenability, Følner uses a preliminary result (sometimes called the Dixmier condition).

Theorem 4.2. (Følner 1954, [4, Theorem 4]; see also Dixmier 1950, [3])
Γ has an amenable action on X if and only if for any $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \ell^\infty(X)$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$,

$$H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i(x) - h_i(\gamma_i^{-1}x) \quad \textit{has} \quad \sup_{x\in X} H(x) \geq 0 \tag{D}$$

Proof. If there exists a function H which is written as in (D) and $\sup H(x) \leq -\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then there can be no invariant mean: $m(H) = 0$ (by linearity) but $m(H + \epsilon 1) = m(H) + \epsilon \leq 0$ (by positivity).

On the other hand, if H satisfies (D) implies $\sup H(x) \geq 0$, one can define "explicitly" an invariant mean as follows. Consider

$$\bar{m}(f) = \inf_{H \text{ as in (D)}} \sup_{x\in X} \big(f(x) + H(x)\big).$$

It is very easy to check that $\bar{m}(\lambda f) = \lambda\bar{m}(f)$ (for $\lambda \geq 0$), $\bar{m}(\gamma\cdot f) = \bar{m}(f)$, $f \geq 0$ implies that $\bar{m}(f) \geq 0$ and $\bar{m}(1) = 1$. It is quite straightforward (exercise!) that $\bar{m}(f+g) \leq \bar{m}(f)+\bar{m}(g)$.

To finish, define a linear functional m (with $m(1) = 1$) associated to $\bar{m}$ using Hahn-Banach's extension theorem. This m will be an invariant mean. □

The classical theorem of Følner will be taken for granted.

Theorem 4.3 (Følner sequences)**.** *The action of a countable group Γ on X is amenable if and only if for all $\epsilon \in]0,1[$ and for any finite set $S \subset \Gamma$, there exists a finite sets $F \subset X$ such that,*

$$\textit{for any } \gamma \in S, \quad \frac{|\gamma F \cap F|}{|F|} > 1 - \epsilon$$

One creates [what is now known as] a Følner sequence by taking a sequence of reals $\epsilon_n \to 0$ and a sequence of sets S_n increasing to Γ.

Proof of theorem 4.1: Følner's Theorem 4.3 clearly implies this condition. Introduce, for a finite multiset S,

$$\exists H \in \ell^\infty(X) \text{ with } H = \sum_{s\in S} h_s - \delta_s * h_s, \quad 2|S| \max_s \|h_s\|_{\ell^\infty} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_x H(x) \leq -\epsilon. \tag{$\not\emptyset_{\epsilon,S}$}$$

Recall, for a finite multiset S and $k \in]0,1[$, the condition

$$\exists F \subset X \text{ finite such that } \sum_{\gamma\in S} \frac{|\gamma F \cap F|}{|S|\,|F|} > k. \tag{$\mathsf{I}_{k,S}$}$$

The proof by goes contradiction: assume ($\not\emptyset_{\epsilon,S}$) holds (for some $\epsilon > 0$ and some S) even though there is a k so that ($\mathsf{I}_{k,S}$) is true for all S. Take H_0 as in ($\not\emptyset_{\epsilon,S}$). Let F associated to S as in ($\mathsf{I}_{k,S}$). Define H_1 as follows:

$$H_1 = \tfrac{1}{|F|} \sum_{s\in S} 1_F * h_s - \delta_s * 1_F * h_s,$$

where 1_F is the characteristic function of F. This sum is apparently a sum on $|S|\,|F|$ terms of functions with

$$2|F|\,|S| \max_s \|h_s\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sup_x H_1(x) \leq -\epsilon.$$

However, by the choice of F, many terms in the sum cancel out: there are at most $2(1-k)|S|\,|F|$ left after cancellations. This means that $\|H_1\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq (1-k)$ and implies that $-(1-k) \leq -\epsilon$, *i.e.* $\epsilon \leq 1-k$.

But one can iterate this process: H_1 has the same form as H_0 (with a different S), so one may define a H_2 from H_1 in a similar manner. This implies $\epsilon \leq (1-k)^2$. Constructing a sequence H_m in a similar fashion implies $\epsilon \leq (1-k)^m$. If $k \in]0,1]$, This contradicts ($\not\emptyset_{\epsilon,S}$) (for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any multiset S). By Lemma 4.2, there is an invariant mean. □

5 Further comments

It is straightforward to extend the above result to the following case. Let Γ be a countable group with a non-amenable action on a countable set X. If the Schreier graph of Γ acting on X is almost transitive (in the sense of Benjamini & Schramm [1, §2], for some generating set of G) then there is a finite multiset S so that the Schreier graph for S has $p_c < p_u$. For other consequences, see also Thom's paper [9, §3.2].

5.A Thom's improvement

It is not completely clear from the proof that one may replace multisets by sets in Følner's Theorem 2.4. One could try to use the techniques A. Thom used to prove [9, Theorem 1]. In any case, one can also deduce this directly from his theorem (as was mentioned by Juschenko & Nagnibeda in [6, Remark 20]).

Theorem 5.1. (Thom [9, Theorem 1]) *If Γ is a non-amenable group, then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a generating finite set S such that $\rho(\Gamma, S) < \epsilon$.*

Thus, using Theorem 2.1, if Γ is non-amenable then $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite generating set S with

$$h(\Gamma, S) \geq (1-\epsilon)\frac{|S|}{|S|-1} \geq 1-\epsilon.$$

Corollary 5.2. *If there exists $k \in]0,1[$ such that, for all generating sets S,*

$$\exists F \subset X \text{ finite such that } \sum_{\gamma \in S} \frac{|\gamma F \cap F|}{|S|\,|F|} > k. \qquad (\mathsf{I}_{k,S})$$

then Γ is amenable.

Proof. Indeed, by corollary 3.1, $h(\Gamma, S) \geq 1-k \iff (\not{\mathsf{I}}_{k,S})$. One gets

$$\Gamma \text{ non-amenable} \implies \forall k \in]0,1[, \exists \text{ a finite generating } S \subset \Gamma \text{ such that } (\not{\mathsf{I}}_{k,S}) \text{ holds.}$$

This means

$$\exists k \in]0,1[\text{ such that } \forall \text{ finite generating } S \subset \Gamma,\ (\mathsf{I}_{k,S}) \text{ holds} \implies \Gamma \text{ amenable.} \qquad \square$$

5.B Historical notes

It is worth noting that Banach proved the extension theorem in order to show the existence of invariant means on Abelian groups. The definition he used was $\bar{m}(f) = \inf_\mu \sup_x (\mu * f)(x)$ where the infimum runs over finitely supported probability measures on Γ. Though the proof of Følner's criterion given above mimics the original one, it can also be adapted to show that Banach's definition also gives a semi-norm $\bar{m}$.

Note that corollary 3.1 shows that $h(\Gamma, S) \geq 1-k \iff (\not{\mathsf{I}}_{k,S})$. The above proof shows:

$$(\not{\emptyset}_{\epsilon,S}) \implies (\not{\mathsf{I}}_{k,S}) \text{ with } k = 1-\epsilon \iff h(\Gamma, S) \geq \epsilon.$$

To show the existence of Følner sequences, Følner [5, §5] actually shows:

$$h(\Gamma, S) \geq 1-k > 0 \iff (\not{\mathsf{I}}_{k,S}) \implies (\not{\emptyset}_{\epsilon,S}) \text{ with } \epsilon = \frac{1-k}{2|S|}.$$

As mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 1 is due to [8]. They show that (in a non-amenable group) some multiset, obtained by taking arbitrarily large powers of some generating set has arbitrarily small spectral radius. In [6, Theorem 10, Corollary 11 and Corollary 13], this was improved to sets for a large class of non-amenable groups (*e.g.* those admitting a normal subgroup $N \lhd \Gamma$ with Γ/N amenable). The full generality is due to Thom in [9].

5.C Paradoxical decompositions

The following remark is a small digression on Følner's proof that the free group is not amenable, [4, Theorem 6].

Remark 5.3*:* It is fairly easy to see that condition ($\not\emptyset_{\epsilon,S}$) holds if X admits a paradoxical decomposition. These decompositions are given by disjoint subsets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s, B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_t$ and C of X as well as elements $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^s$ and $\{\eta\}_{j=1}^t$ of Γ such that

$$X = \left(\sqcup_{i=1}^s A_i \right) \sqcup \left(\sqcup_{j=1}^t B_j \right) \sqcup C = \sqcup_{i=1}^s \gamma_i A_i = \sqcup_{j=1}^t \eta_j B_j.$$

One may assume γ_1 and η_1 are the identity. The Tarski number of X (for the action of Γ), $\tau(X)$, is the minimal value of $s+t$ for all such decompositions. Let

$$(s+t-2)H(x) = \sum_{i=2}^{s} (1_{A_i} - \gamma_i^{-1} 1_{A_i}) + \sum_{j=2}^{t} (1_{B_i} - \eta_i^{-1} 1_{B_i}).$$

Then $\sup_x H(x) \leq -(s+t-2)^{-1}$. Thus ($\not\emptyset_{\epsilon,S}$) holds with $\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}(\tau(X)-2)^{-1}$ and $S = \{\gamma_i\}_{i=2}^s \cup \{\eta_j\}_{j=2}^t$.

Both Theorem 4.2 and the above remark are essentially in von Neumann's original paper [10, Hilfsatz 2 on p.90].

References

[1] I. Benjamini and O. Schramm, Percolation beyond $\mathbb{Z}^d$, many questions and a few answers, *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **1**(8):71–82, 1996.

[2] J. W. Cannon and W. J. Floyd and W. R. Parry, Amenability, Folner sets, and cooling functions, Arχiν:1201.0132

[3] J. Dixmier, Les moyennes invariantes dans les semi-groups et leurs applications, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* **12**:213–227, 1950.

[4] E. Følner, A generalisation of a theorem of Bogoliouboff with an appendix on Banach mean value in non-Abelian groups, *Math. Scand.* **2**:5–18, 1954.

[5] E. Følner, On groups with full Banach mean value, *Math. Scand.* **3**:243–254, 1955.

[6] K. Juschenko and T. Smirnova-Nagnibeda, Small spectral radius and percolation constants on non-amenable Cayley graphs, Arχiν:1206.2183

[7] B. Mohar, Isoperimetric inequalities, growth, and the spectrum of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **103**:119–131, 1988.

[8] I. Pak and T. Smirnova-Nagnibeda, On non-uniqueness of percolation on non-amenable Cayley graphs, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **330**(6):495–500, 2000.

[9] A. Thom, A remark about the spectral radius, Arχiν:1306.1767

[10] J. von Neumann, Zur allgemein Theorie des Masses, *Fund. Math.* **13**:73–116, 1929